

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/226,766	WANGH, LAWRENCE J.
	<b>Examiner</b> Deborah Crouch, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1632

**All Participants:**

- (1) Deborah Crouch, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Ingrid Beatyy. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 19 December 2003

**Time:** 12:30

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

157-163

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: We discussed the scope of the claims and that the specification only discloses the use of a cell or egg extract. Ms. Beattie requested that the claims be so limited.

This provides the written substance of the interview..